Appl. No. 10/720,812 Amdt. Dated June 29, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants are respectfully requesting the above amendments prior to the examination of the above-identified application. Claims 9, 11-15, 17, and 19-23 have been amended.

RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In the Office Action mailed June 8, 2005, the Examiner contends that Applicant claims two distinct inventions; namely, Group I (claims 1-8 and 25-32) drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 107, and Group II (claims 9-24 and 33-48) drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 686. Thus, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121, the Examiner requires Applicant to restrict the application to one of the alleged two inventions.

In compliance with 35 U.S.C. §121, Applicant elects Group II (claims 9-24 and 33-48). In the Office Action, the Examiner states that "[s]hould the applicant select invention II, device claims, the applicant is requested to choose between claims toward a device with an inductor (claims 9-24) or toward a device with a resistor (claims 33-48), or state for the record that the integration of either an inductor or a resistor is an obvious variant" (Office Action, page 3). This request is moot in light of the above amendment.

Appl. No. 10/720,812 Amdt. Dated June 29, 2005

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: June 29, 2005

Thinh V. Nguyen

Reg. No. 42,034

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90025

MAILING

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: June 29, 2005

FACSIMILE

ransmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

June 29, 2005

Date